

Professional Services

Stream Restoration Lacamas Creek Side Channel Reconnection

Questions and Answers

Below are all the questions asked and answers given pertaining to the Request for Proposal for Professional Services for the Stream Restoration Lacamas Creek Side Channel Reconnection Project from March 24th – March 30th 2016.

May proposals be submitted electronically?

No. The County requires that five hardcopies be submitted to Ann Weckback, Environmental Planner, Lewis County Public Works, 2025 NE Kresky Ave., Chehalis, WA 98532, by 1p.m. April 6, 2016.

Are resumes included as part of the page count?

Only documents within the 10 pages which were requested will be reviewed.

Are sub-consultants of the prime consultant for this project who do not have WSDOT rates subject to the 100% overhead, 10% profit rate or will they be a lump sum in the contract?

As per the LAG manual page 31-32 – Lump Sum is only appropriate where the scope of work (quantity and type) can be clearly defined in advance. Lump Sum contracts cannot be supplemented. Lewis County is willing to consider a sub-consultant under a lump sum agreement should these requirements be met. However, it seems unlikely one would be able to provide such a defined scope and/or tasks on this project as the results of the proposed studies will be used to guide the scope.

As site visits may not be conducted by consultants prior to the proposal submittal date will the County be treating proposals more as a Statement of Qualification and then refining the selection through interviews after a short list has been developed and consultants are provided with a site visit?

The County will be rating the proposals based on the scoring criteria provided in the RFP. It is anticipated that some consultants may use the map and photo log of the site provided with the Questions and Answers for the RFP that were posted on the Lewis County website last Wednesday, March 23, 2016.

Why was the side channel selected as the preferred design?

A more focused design concept was needed in order to apply for grant funds and make the project attractive and achievable to review teams. While the RFP does request that 3 to 7 conceptual designs (for multiple stream restoration alternatives) be proposed and analyzed it is highly unlikely grant funds would be awarded to a project with such a vague concept. The Side Channel Reconnection seems to be the most feasible concept, with the data that has been collected thus far, that was submitted as being the likely outcome.